

TED R. WEILAND'S ! VENOM !

I am aware that this is very strong language, but sometimes a very strong antidote is needed to neutralize a very dangerous poison! Let's examine the picture for a moment to see if Ted R. Weiland fits this title's description. A serpent will usually catch the eye of its victim in order to hypnotize its prey before making its deadly strike. A serpent will coil and hold its motionless pose with its mouth wide open, with fangs extended and forked-tongue appearing. Then suddenly the serpent strikes out burying his fangs in the flesh of its victim and injecting its deadly poison. And if the proverbial shoe fits, then let Weiland wear it!

Let's now examine the nature of the poison. To do this I will quote from Ted R. Weiland's remarks on one of his audiocassette tapes entitled *Some Basics*, where Weiland apologizes for the other races by saying:

"... but that doesn't mean that a non-Israelite cannot join himself to Yahweh, and partake – and why wouldn't we want them to? Why wouldn't we want the nations around to be serving our God?, and under His Laws? – so we could have commerce with those nations not only for my race but for their race as well ... I have come to appreciate the other races and their individuality more since understanding this [Identity] message than before I understood it. God created everything to be good ... have you ever noticed the media always say we call the other races 'mud people'? I have never in my life ever heard the term except in the media. Not amongst the people I preach to ... so why wouldn't we want to embrace others into this message? ... If anything, we should be absolutely ashamed of ourselves because of our past reputation and our past history as a people and what we have squandered because of who we are. We should be ashamed of ourselves and our forefathers more than the rest of the races. They are wallowing in their sin because of our sin. Let's just face it ..."

Then again, Ted R. Weiland on an audio CD labeled "Mission to Israel"; entitled *You Might Be A Christian if...* Pt. 9, (CD 656), and the address given: P.O. Box. 248. Scottsbluff, NE 69363, made additional poisonous, false allegations saying the following:

"... And don't you dare, and I don't think anyone would here – I'm sure you wouldn't, but somebody who might hear this on tape – don't you dare interpret what I just said as racist and supremacist, because I assure you that one can be a separatist without being a racist ... without being racist or supremacist. How do I know that? Because I'm a separatist, and I denounce racists and supremacists. And if you don't want to believe me on that, then you might want to possibly take the word of hundreds – and who knows how many more – maybe more than that, you might want to take the word of hundreds of black Nigerians and others to whom this ministry has sent hundreds, if not thousands of dollars of free Bibles and tapes since its very inception. I'm not a separatist because I hate other races. I'm a separatist because I love Yahweh and His laws which require – which require that His people live separate segregated lives Now once again there are going to be all kinds of objections. Someone is surely to counter that this passage is addressing religious, not racial separation, to which I respond – not that there are some exceptions – that people can't from other races, and have in the past, joined themselves to Yahweh and embraced the Covenant ..."

In the first place, **Yahshua Christ Himself** is a **RACIST**, for Matthew 13:47-50 in substance reads:

"47 Again, the kingdom of heaven is like unto a net, that was **cast into the sea [of people]**, and gathered of **every race**: 48 Which, when it was full, they drew to shore, and sat

down, and gathered the **good [racial kind]** into vessels, but cast the **bad [racial kind]** away. 49 So shall it be at the end of the world: the angels shall come forth, and sever the wicked from among the just, 50 And shall cast them **[the bad racial kind]** into the furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth.”

Since I have already addressed the racial issue in my *Was Christ Politically Incorrect? Matt. 13:47-50* brochure, I will now redirect my focus on Weiland to a different, but just as deadly, fallacious premise which he maintains. To show you what I mean, I will take a few excerpts from the two previous quotes by Weiland here:

“... but that doesn’t mean that a non-Israelite cannot join himself to Yahweh, and partake – and why wouldn’t we want them to? Why wouldn’t we want the nations around to be serving our God?, and under His Laws? ... so why wouldn’t we want to embrace others into this message? ... to which I respond – not that there are some exceptions – that people can’t from other races, and have in the past, joined themselves to Yahweh and embraced the Covenant ...”

What you have just read from the very lips of Ted R. Weiland himself is out-and-out malicious **fraud** of the most reprobate (foreordained to damnation) kind. When a person or party of persons are named in a binding and exclusive contract, it is downright **fraud** to allow a third party to become a benefactor of that pact, or enter in by any means, direct or indirect! It’s not open to question or debate! Hence, Ted R. Weiland has made himself a criminal in Yahweh’s sight, for no one has the authority to break or amend Yahweh’s covenants in any way, shape or manner! Weiland needs to cease and desist from his “God-syndrome” attitude.

One simply cannot go around including the non-Adamic in the Edenic covenant (Gen. 2:7)! One simply cannot take it upon themselves to include the “serpent’s seed” in the Adamic covenant (Gen. 3:14-22)! It is not fitting to include the non-Noahic people under the Noahic covenant (Gen. 6:18; 9:1, 7, 8, 9, 11)! It is downright fraud to attempt to include the non-Abrahamic under the Abrahamic covenant (Gen. 13:16; 15:5, 9-10; 17:4-7; 22:15-18)! It is madness to attempt to include non-Israelites under the Mosaic covenant (Deut. 26:17-18)! It is not suitable to attempt to include non-Israelites under the covenant dubbed the “Palestinian covenant” (Deut. 28:1 15; 30:19)! One should not attempt to include any non-Davidic people under the Davidic covenant (1 Sam. 16:13; Psalm 89: 34-37; Jer. 33:20-21)! It is not proper to include any non-Solomonic people under the Solomonic covenant (2 Sam. 7:12-16)! And surely it is unlawful to the highest degree to attempt to bring non-Israelites under the New Covenant for which only the House of Israel and the House of Judah are legally named (Jer. 31:31-32; Heb. 8:8-11)! Yet Weiland is advocating bringing the non-Adamic in by way of the New Covenant, and there is absolutely no Scriptural basis for such a thing. The people of the New Covenant are the same people of the Old Covenant! **[close, stop, period]**

A lot of people are not aware that there was a separate covenant with Sarah, which excludes the seed of Hagar and Keturah! Just who is this Ted R. Weiland, with a God-syndrome, to proclaim these Convent things are not so? Evidently, Weiland never read that only Isaac (the son of Sarah) was placed on the altar! That made Isaac’s sons (including daughters), and no one else, the exclusive, sanctified (set apart) possession of Yahweh!

Ted R. Weiland exposed his hand when he said: “... not that there are some exceptions – that people can’t from other races, and have in the past, joined themselves to Yahweh and embraced the Covenant ...”

It is apparent that Weiland is hanging his hat incorrectly on Isaiah 56:3-8 which says: “3 Neither let the son of the stranger, that hath joined himself to YAHWEH, speak, saying, YAHWEH hath utterly **separated me from his people**: neither let the eunuch say, Behold, I *am* a dry tree. 4 For thus saith YAHWEH unto the eunuchs that keep my sabbaths, and choose *the things* that please me, and take hold of my covenant; 5 Even unto them will I give in mine house and

within my walls a place and a name better than of sons and of daughters: I will give them an everlasting name, that shall not be cut off. 6 Also the sons of the stranger, that join themselves to YAHWEH to serve him, and to love the name of YAHWEH, to be his servants, every one that keepeth the sabbath from polluting it, and taketh hold of my covenant; 7 Even them will I bring to my holy mountain, and make them joyful in my house of prayer: their burnt offerings and their sacrifices *shall be* accepted upon mine altar; for mine house shall be called an house of prayer for all people. 8 YAHWEH Elohim which gathereth the outcasts of Israel saith, Yet will I gather *others* to him, beside those that are gathered unto him.”

This passage is not at all saying what Weiland conjectures (guesses) it is saying, and surely it is conjecture on his part! That “Yahweh ... separated [the son of the stranger] from his people” informs us that the stranger was one of His people in the first place! The following is what I wrote on this subject in my brochure *The Lie Of Universalism, #1*:

Once we understand that the northern Ten Tribes had been divorced by the Almighty along with most of Judah, they were cut-off from the Covenant and became estranged to Him. The tribes, being cut-off from the Covenant, became like a “eunuch” or a “dry tree.” For that period, Israel’s seed had been cut-off, so figuratively, the simile of a “eunuch” is appropriate. Upon understanding that Israel was the “eunuch” or collectively of the tribes “eunuchs”, there is no longer a conflict with Deut. 23:1. This passage is not talking about bringing non-Israelites under the Covenant, but quite the opposite. Once Yahshua died for our Redemption, we were then brought back under the New Covenant, which includes only the House of Israel and the House of Judah, (Jeremiah 31:31; Hebrews 8:8).

Some may argue that the “stranger” in Isaiah 56:3 & 6 is #5236 instead of #1616. When Israel was divorced, they became equivalent to non-Israelites until Yahshua purchased them back (redeemed them), so #5236 is not out of order in this passage. Jamieson, Fausset & Brown’s *Commentary*, page 582, on this passage describes #5236 thusly: “the man — *Hebrew, enosh*, ‘a man in humble life’, in contradistinction to *Hebrew, ish*, ‘one of high rank’.” In this sense the meaning of *enosh* is very fitting, for Israel was humbled when she was punished, Deut. 28:44. That verse reads: “He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail.” Surely, when Israel became the tail instead of the head the term *enosh* was not out of order. When are we ever going to start reading these things in their proper context? What this passage actually says and what Weiland thinks it says are worlds apart from each other.

To show that the divorced Israelites were considered “**strangers**”, all one need do is read Ezekiel 14:5: “That I may take the house of Israel in their own heart, because they are all **estranged** from me through their idols.” Then in Ephesians 2:12 & 19: “That at that time ye were without Messiah, being **aliens** from the commonwealth of Israel, and **strangers** from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without YAHWEH in the world Now therefore ye are no more **strangers** and **foreigners**, but fellowcitizens with the saints, and of the household of YAHWEH.” Again in Colossians 1:21: “And you that were sometime **alienated** and enemies in *your* mind by wicked works, yet now hath he reconciled.” Also we must consider 1 Peter 2:11: “Dearly beloved, I beseech *you* as **strangers** and **pilgrims**, abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul.” Deuteronomy 28:43 proclaims that Israel would become lower than the *stranger* and humbled below the *enosh*.

From this, it is highly apparent that Ted R. Weiland is a one-verse-at-a-time bible expert spelled with a small “b”, for as he reads it, it becomes unrecognizable.

It is absolutely **not true** which Jory S. Brooks asserted that: “In Old Testament times, non-Hebrews could join themselves to the Chosen Nation through faith in Israel’s God. (Isa. 56:3-8) Under the same principal in New Testament times, by faith in Israel’s Savior and God-In-Flesh, Jesus Christ, non-Israelites in a sense inherit some of the blessings given to Israel.”

Jory S. Brooks here commits the **criminal** act of **fraud** by taking away the children's inheritance and giving it to the heathen. Ditto for Ted R. Weiland and his ilk! Not only is it **fraud**, but also **grand theft**, along with **misappropriation of valuable assets** both physical and spiritual. Who then, gives Jory S. Brooks and Ted R. Weiland the authority to rewrite Holy writ? My, the pride of those afflicted with the God-syndrome!

I don't know about others, but when I get to the judgment, I want every bit of my inheritance that is due me, and the rewards for everything I have earned. And conversely, I do not want the slightest residue of that which does not belong to me, which I have not earned. Therefore, I lay claim to I Tim. 5:24: **"Some men's sins are open beforehand, going before to judgment; and some men they follow after."** These are Paul's words to Timothy, and what hope would we have without them? But according to Weiland and Brooks, when we get to the judgment, some of our valuable inheritance will be redistributed to the heathen. This sounds more like Karl Marx's redistribution of the wealth! Haven't we been robbed enough in this life, let alone to be further robbed in the next? The truth is: The only ones who can take hold of the Covenant are those who are named in the Covenant! And the only ones named in the Covenant are the House of Israel and the House of Judah! So it appears that, unless Ted R. Weiland repents (which is unlikely), his sins of **fraud, grand theft and misappropriation of valuable assets** will follow him to the judgment rather than "open beforehand", and that being of his own free choice in the matter! Anyone who steals the inheritance from the rightful God-given heir and reallocates it to someone unqualified is a God-robber after the fact! Here is where Ted R. Weiland and Karl Marx fall in the same category, so let the chips fall where they may!

This is not a less serious misdemeanor, but a major **crime**, and ignorance is no excuse, especially when we have the Word before us for all to read, study and research! All this is tantamount to robbing our Anglo-Saxon neighbor and sending the proceeds to Nigeria, which Ted R. Weiland has admitted he is doing. For if Weiland is taking the proceeds given as tithe, and **misappropriating** it to Nigeria, he is guilty thereby!

Besides, what are we to do with Ezra 9:12 which correctly reads in part in the NIV: "... Do not further their welfare or prosperity at any time, that you may be strong and eat the good things of the land and leave it to your children as an everlasting inheritance." It is immensely apparent that when we give aid of any kind or socialize with the other races we allow them to gain advantage over us and destroy our children for generations to come.

Weiland, instead of "gathering the good into vessels, but cast[ing] the bad away" is rather "gathering the bad into vessels, but cast[ing] the good away." Anyone who is "ashamed of his forefathers" as he stated with his own lips, is surely casting the good away! Evidently, Weiland forgot the commandment to "honor your forefathers and foremothers." Evidently, Weiland doesn't understand that many of our forefathers fought to the death with their "battle axes" and "weapons of war" so we could live in our present generation today. What an ingrate Weiland has made of himself! And according to Ted R. Weiland's letter to Tony Gonyer dated August 5, 2005, "... the false accuser Emahiser ... you have chosen to associate with will always be a disgruntled, hateful, mean-spirited, false accusing, ineffective bunch of nincompoops."

Please be advised that this "nincompoop" (fool) will not sit idly by while Ted R. Weiland is in the process of giving the store away to the "Nigerians" or "others" which he admits with his own lips he is doing. But the reader will have to decide just who is the real "nincompoop", Emahiser or Weiland? Its your nickel, so take your choice, but don't grumble if you bet on the wrong horse!

1012 N. Vine Street, Fostoria, Ohio 44830
Phone (419)435-2836

Please Feel Free To Copy, Or Order:
10 for 2.00; 25 for 3.00; 50 for 5.00 or 8.00 per 100